

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/029,609

Applicant(s)

HUNZINGER, JASON F.

Examiner

Charles Chow

Art Unit

2685

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles Chow.

(3) _____.

(2) Tim McIntyer and Kojima, Fax (248) 641-0270.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/21/2004, 10:30AM.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: all references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: a>Applicant pointed out the no teachings for the transmitting a message for reasons of the connection failure in the cited references, such as Rappaport. b>Claims 18, 23 shall be amended and new claim shall be added based on the no teachings in the cited references, for the counting of most recent reason having the same connection failure and the transmitting of the reasons of failure. C>Examiner will make a further consideration based upon the above amendment.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 12 Feb 2004

To: Tim McIntyer	From: Charles Chow
Application/Control Number: 10/029,609	Art Unit: 2685
Fax No.: (248) 641-0270	Phone No.: (703)-306-5615
Voice No.: (858) 678-5070	Return Fax No.: (703) 872-9306
Re:	CC:
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> For Comment <input type="checkbox"/> For Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Per Your Request	

Comments:

Attorney, T. McIntyer: Attaches interview Summary PTO-413, for the telephone interview today at 10:30.

Number of pages 3 **including this page**

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450